

LECTURE 21: APRIL 24

Regularity for holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules. Last time, we considered differential equations of the form $Pu = 0$, where $P = a_0(x)\partial^m + a_1(x)\partial^{m-1} + \cdots + a_m(x)$ is a differential operator of order m with holomorphic coefficients, such that $a_0(0) = 0$. We showed that all multi-valued solutions have moderate growth near the origin iff

$$(21.1) \quad x^m P = (x\partial)^m + b_1(x)(x\partial)^{m-1} + \cdots + b_m(x),$$

with $b_1(x), \dots, b_m(x)$ holomorphic. In that case, one says that the equation $Pu = 0$ has a regular singularity at the origin. Let us now reformulate this algebraic condition in terms of the left \mathcal{D}_X -module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$. For the time being, \mathcal{D}_X again means the sheaf of linear differential operators with holomorphic coefficients.

We first observe that the characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} is defined by the principal symbol $\sigma_m(P) = a_0(x)\xi^m$, where x and ξ are the natural coordinates on the cotangent bundle. Since $a_0(0) = 0$, it follows that $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{M})$ is the subset defined by the equation $x\xi = 0$. This means that if $F_\bullet \mathcal{M}$ is any good filtration of \mathcal{M} , for example the one induced by the order filtration on \mathcal{D}_X , then some power of $x\xi$ annihilates $\text{gr}^F \mathcal{M}$. Let me now show you how (21.1) can be used to construct a particular good filtration with better properties.

Suppose that we have (21.1) with $b_1(x), \dots, b_m(x)$ holomorphic. Then we can define a good filtration $F_\bullet \mathcal{M}$ by setting

$$F_k \mathcal{M} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} F_k \mathcal{D}_X \cdot (x\partial)^j + \mathcal{D}_X P.$$

It is not hard to see that this is indeed a good filtration; moreover,

$$x\partial \cdot F_k \mathcal{M} \subseteq F_k \mathcal{M}$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by virtue of (21.1). This means that $\text{gr}^F \mathcal{M}$ is annihilated by the first power of $x\xi$.

Kashiwara and Kawai introduced the notion of holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules with regular singularities as a generalization of this case. From now on, we let X be a nonsingular algebraic variety (over a field k of characteristic zero). For a coherent left \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} , we denote by $\mathcal{I}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{M})} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{T^*X}$ the ideal sheaf of the characteristic variety. Recall that

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{M})} = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_{\text{gr}^F \mathcal{D}_X} \text{gr}^F \mathcal{M}},$$

where $F_\bullet \mathcal{M}$ is any good filtration. It follows that there is some (usually large) integer N such that $\mathcal{I}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{M})}^N \cdot \text{gr}^F \mathcal{M} = 0$. Roughly speaking, we say that \mathcal{M} is regular if we can find a good filtration for which $N = 1$. For technical reasons, we have to be slightly more careful. Suppose first that X is proper over $\text{Spec } k$.

Definition 21.2. Let X be a nonsingular algebraic variety that is proper over $\text{Spec } k$. A holonomic left \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} is called *regular* (in the sense of Kashiwara and Kawai) if it admits a good filtration $F_\bullet \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{M})} \cdot \text{gr}^F \mathcal{M} = 0$.

If $P \in F_k \mathcal{D}_X$ is a differential operator of order k , then $\sigma_k(P)$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{M})}$ if and only if $\sigma_k(P)$ vanishes along the characteristic variety of \mathcal{M} . The condition in the definition is therefore saying that whenever P is a differential operator of order k such that $\sigma_k(P)$ vanishes along $\text{Ch}(\mathcal{M})$, then

$$P \cdot F_j \mathcal{M} \subseteq F_{j+k-1} \mathcal{M}$$

for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The original definition by Kashiwara and Kawai is only asking that a good filtration with $\mathcal{I}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{M})} \cdot \text{gr}^F \mathcal{M} = 0$ should exist locally on X ; but they show that \mathcal{M} then actually has a globally defined good filtration with this property.

One can prove (with a lot of work) that direct images by proper morphisms, and inverse images by arbitrary morphisms, preserve regularity. If we used the above definition to define regularity when X is not proper, we would run into the problem that direct images by open embeddings do not necessarily preserve regularity.

Example 21.3. Consider the holonomic A_1 -module $M = A_1/A_1(\partial - 1)$. The filtration induced by the order filtration certainly has the property in the definition (and the differential equation $\partial u = u$ has a regular singularity at the origin). The problem occurs near the point at infinity. Indeed, if we consider the open embedding $\mathbb{A}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and look at M in the other affine chart with coordinate $y = x^{-1}$, we get $\partial_x - 1 = -y^2 \partial_y - 1$. The A_1 -module

$$A_1/A_1(y^2 \partial_y + 1)$$

is *not* regular in the above sense; indeed, the differential equation $y^2 \partial_y u + u = 0$ does not satisfy the condition in [Theorem 20.4](#).

Example 21.4. A more well-behaved example is $M = A_1/A_1(x\partial - \alpha)$, for $\alpha \in k$. Since $x\partial x = -y\partial_y$, this becomes $A_1/A_1(y\partial_y + \alpha)$ in the chart at infinity, which again has a regular singularity.

Since we would like direct images by arbitrary morphisms to preserve regularity, we need to include open embeddings into the definition. Let X be a nonsingular algebraic variety. Since k has characteristic zero, Nagata's theorem implies that we can always embed X into a nonsingular algebraic variety \bar{X} that is proper over $\text{Spec } k$. We can always arrange that $\bar{X} \setminus X$ is a divisor; using embedded resolution of singularities, we can moreover achieve that this divisor only has normal crossing singularities. In either case, $j: X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ is an affine morphism, and so if \mathcal{M} is a holonomic left \mathcal{D}_X -module, the direct image $j_+ \mathcal{M} = j_* \mathcal{M}$ is again a holonomic left $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{X}}$ -module.

Definition 21.5. Let X be a nonsingular algebraic variety. A holonomic left \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} is called *regular* (in the sense of Kashiwara and Kawai) if, for any affine open embedding $j: X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ into a nonsingular algebraic variety \bar{X} that is proper over $\text{Spec } k$, the direct image $j_+ \mathcal{M}$ is regular on \bar{X} .

In fact, it suffices to check this for a single embedding $j: X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$. Here is why. Given any two affine open embeddings $j: X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ and $j': X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}'$, one can take the closure of the image of $(j, j'): X \hookrightarrow \bar{X} \times \bar{X}'$, and resolve the resulting singularities to obtain a third embedding $j'': X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}''$ such that $j = f \circ j''$ and $j' = f' \circ j''$ for two proper morphisms $f: \bar{X}'' \rightarrow \bar{X}$ and $f': \bar{X}'' \rightarrow \bar{X}'$. Since direct images by proper morphisms preserve regularity, it follows that $j_+ \mathcal{M}$ is regular on \bar{X} if and only if $j'_+ \mathcal{M}$ is regular on \bar{X}' .

Regularity and solutions. Over the complex numbers, one can also detect regularity by looking at solutions. The idea is that a left \mathcal{D}_X -module \mathcal{M} is regular if and only if all formal power series solutions of \mathcal{M} are convergent. Let us make this precise. We now assume that X is a complex manifold of dimension n , and we denote by \mathcal{D}_X the sheaf of differential operators with holomorphic coefficients. If \mathcal{M} is a holonomic left \mathcal{D}_X -module, we can define regularity as above by the (local) existence of a good filtration such that $\mathcal{I}_{\text{Ch}(\mathcal{M})} \cdot \text{gr}^F \mathcal{M} = 0$. Fix a point $x \in X$, and denote by $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ the local ring of holomorphic functions that are defined in some neighborhood of x , and by $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ its completion with respect to the maximal ideal. Concretely, $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ are formal power series in local coordinates x_1, \dots, x_n , and the

subring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ consists of those power series that actually converge in a neighborhood of the given point. The stalk \mathcal{M}_x is a holonomic left $\mathcal{D}_{X,x}$ -module. In particular, it is coherent, and so we can think of \mathcal{M}_x as being obtained from a system of linear partial differential equations (by choosing a presentation of \mathcal{M}_x). As we discussed in [Lecture 1](#), the space of holomorphic solutions to the system can be described as

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{M}_x, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}).$$

Roughly speaking, regularity of \mathcal{M} means that the natural morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{M}_x, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{M}_x, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x})$$

is an isomorphism. In other words, every convergent power series solution actually converges. This is not quite true, but it becomes true if we replace the naive solution functor by its derived version

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{M}_x, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}).$$

Concretely, this is computed by choosing a resolution of \mathcal{M}_x by free $\mathcal{D}_{X,x}$ -modules of finite rank, and then applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{X,x}}(-, \mathcal{O}_{X,x})$.

Theorem 21.6 (Kashiwara-Kawai). *Let X be a complex manifold, and \mathcal{M} a holonomic left \mathcal{D}_X -module. Then \mathcal{M} is regular, in the sense that it (locally) admits a good filtration $F_\bullet \mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{I}_{\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{M})} \cdot \mathrm{gr}^F \mathcal{M} = 0$, iff the morphism*

$$\mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{M}_x, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}_{X,x}}(\mathcal{M}_x, \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x})$$

is an isomorphism in the derived category, for every point $x \in X$.

We do not have the tools to prove this, so let me instead illustrate the result by a simple example.

Example 21.7. On $X = \mathbb{C}$, consider the left \mathcal{D} -module $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}(x^2\partial - 1)$, which is clearly not regular at the point $x = 0$. Let us see how the solution functor detects this. A free resolution of \mathcal{M} is given by

$$\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{x^2\partial - 1} \mathcal{D}$$

and so we need to compare the cohomology of the two complexes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{x^2\partial - 1} & \mathcal{O} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \hat{\mathcal{O}} & \xrightarrow{x^2\partial - 1} & \hat{\mathcal{O}} \end{array}$$

The horizontal differential takes a (convergent) power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ to the (convergent) power series

$$(x^2\partial - 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((n-1)a_{n-1} - a_n) x^n$$

where $a_{-1} = 0$ (to simplify the notation). It is easy to see that the kernel of $x^2\partial - 1$ is trivial: from the relations $(n-1)a_{n-1} - a_n = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one obtains $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = \dots = 0$.

The behavior of the cokernel is more interesting. On $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$, the operator $x^2\partial - 1$ is surjective. Indeed, if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n$ is any formal power series, then the equation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n = (x^2\partial - 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$$

means that $(n-1)a_{n-1} - a_n = b_n$, and this can be solved recursively. But on \mathcal{O} , the operator is no longer surjective. For instance, if we try to solve

$$x = (x^2\partial - 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n,$$

we obtain $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = -1$, and $a_n = (n-1)a_{n-1}$ for $n \geq 2$, from which it follows that $a_n = -(n-1)!$ for $n \geq 1$. The resulting series

$$- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n-1)! \cdot x^n$$

clearly has radius of convergence equal to zero.